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Abstract

Recent research has shown that constrained bistable structures can display negative stiffness behavior and provide extremal vibrational and acoustical absorptive capacity. These bistable structures are therefore compelling candidates for constructing new metamaterials for noise reduction, anechoic coatings, and backing materials for broadband imaging transducers. To date, demonstrations of these capabilities have been primarily theoretical, because the geometry of bistable elements is difficult to construct and refine with conventional manufacturing methods and materials. The objective of this research is to exploit the geometric design freedoms provided by selective laser sintering (SLS) technology to design and construct constrained bistable structures with negative stiffness behavior. The static and dynamic behaviors of resulting bistable structures are experimentally investigated. Initial bistable designs and test results are presented in this paper.

1. Introduction

Negative stiffness elements have been identified as unique mechanisms for enhancing acoustical and vibrational damping. Examples of negative stiffness mechanisms include mechanical systems with negative spring constants (Prasad, 1991) and materials with negative moduli (Lakes, 2001a, 2001b). Negative stiffness elements contribute to damping behavior because they tend to assist rather than resist deformation as a result of internally stored energy (Lakes, 2001a, 2001b).
Figure 1: Stages of snap-through movement of a buckled beam. (i) The beam is in an initial stable buckled state. (ii) A force is applied to the spring and sends the beam to the meta-stable state. (iii) The spring is constrained, and a slight change in displacement sends the beam to (iv) the second stable buckled state. Image from Haberman, 2007.

A simple negative stiffness structure can be created from a buckled beam, as illustrated in Figure 1. Such systems are bistable when unconstrained and include one metastable equilibrium point. Figure 1 illustrates a buckled beam with pinned ends moving though the three points of equilibrium. In (i) the beam is in the first stable equilibrium state and when a force is applied, it is pushed to the metastable state (ii-iii) and through to the second stable state (iv).

Figure 2: Plot of the force vs. displacement of the center of a beam in the transverse direction. The line with x’s represents a beam that is critically buckled, while the line with circles represents a beam that is past the critical buckling range and is displaying negative stiffness in the region marked $k<0$. The solid line shows the response for an unbuckled beam. The stages of snap-through in the buckled beam on the left are marked on the graph. Image from Haberman, 2007.
Figure 3: Plot of strain energy vs. transient displacement. Points (1), (2) and (3) match those in Figure 2 as well as the legend for the unbuckled, critically buckled and completely buckled states. Image from Haberman, 2007.

The force versus transverse displacement of a buckled beam is plotted in Figure 2, and the strain energy versus transverse displacement is plotted in Figure 3. In both figures, the behavior of a completely buckled beam is plotted alongside the behavior of an unbuckled beam. As illustrated in Figure 3, the equilibrium positions of the buckled beam correspond to local minima and maxima of the strain energy curve. Since the stiffness of the beam corresponds to the spatial derivative of its strain energy, the buckled beam exhibits negative stiffness over the interval indicated in Figure 2. Therefore, negative stiffness can be elicited by applying a transverse force to the center of a beam in state (1) or state (3), each of which is characterized by a strain energy minimum, and transiting the metastable state (2). The buckled beam is a simple 1D example of a bistable structure, and negative stiffness behavior is implicit to constrained bistable elements of any configuration (Prasad, 2006; Qiu, 2004).

This behavior has been confirmed by experimental studies of buckled tubes and carbon nanotubes which found decreased force with increasing strain response and higher damping levels for buckled tubes relative to unbuckled tubes under sinusoidal forcing (Lakes, 2000; Yap, 2007). These findings confirm that buckled beams are negative stiffness elements under specific conditions and that they can therefore be used for damping purposes. However, it is difficult iteratively design and tune negative stiffness elements with conventionally manufacturing methods. Our research is focused on designing, tuning, and testing negative stiffness elements by fabricating them with SLS technology. This research is part of a larger project to test these mechanisms at macroscopic scales and then miniaturize them to create metamaterials or waveguides with very high damping capacity.

The process of using additive manufacturing technologies to create structures or materials that provide acoustic or vibrational damping has not been studied extensively.
For example, SLS has been used to produce a passive destructive interference acoustic absorber (Godbold, 2007). The absorber minimized sound at specific frequencies by sending acoustic waves through two channels of different lengths thereby creating destructive interference at the exit. However, destructive interference is very different from the negative stiffness mechanism investigated in this research, and negative stiffness has not been investigated with SLS technology. SLS offers the benefit of increased design freedom for fine-tuning the geometry, rapidly iterating through design permutations, and consolidating parts, relative to conventional manufacturing techniques.

2. Research Methodology

The methodology for the research study is presented in Figure 4. This paper follows the methodology through the initial macroscale design phase and reports results of material characterization and design, fabrication, and testing of macroscale designs. Future work will involve miniaturizing our results into smaller-scale and multiscale designs and tuning those designs for specific examples. The results of task clarification are reported in Section 3, followed by materials characterization in Section 4, and macroscale design and empirical testing results in Section 5.

![Figure 4: Research Methodology](image-url)
3. Task Clarification and Overall Experimental Design

A simple apparatus was designed for testing and tuning the negative stiffness behavior of a buckled beam. As shown in Figure 5, the apparatus consists of a beam connecting two end plates. The endplates are connected together with bolts that can be tightened to pre-buckle the beam. By adjusting the relative tightness of the bolts, the beam can be initialized into an unbuckled state, a metastable state, or a fully buckled state. The spring serves to constrain the specimen; its stiffness is tuned to stabilize the beam in its metastable state when the bolts are tightened to the appropriate length. As described in Section 5, the apparatus is placed on top of a shaker table, with accelerometers attached to the beam and the base of the structure to measure the relative amplitude of the beam’s motion and the transmissibility of the beam. The amplitudes of resonance peaks from the pre-buckled beam are expected to be much lower than those of a beam in its free state, demonstrating the dampening effect of the bistable element. The negative stiffness behavior of the apparatus is very sensitive to the material properties of the beam and the spring. Since the apparatus is being constructed with SLS technology and Nylon 11 material, for which various material properties are not widely documented, it is important to begin the study with material testing.

![Figure 5: SolidWorks model of a buckled beam system](image)

4. Material Characterization

Static materials testing is a common and relatively straight-forward method for obtaining material properties of sintered parts. However, it may not always be the most appropriate method when parts are used in dynamic applications. Because the stiffness properties of materials such as Nylon 11 change with strain rate, it is very important to employ dynamic tests to determine properties at a specific frequency. These tests are of added value to this research because limited material property data is available in the literature for sintered Nylon 11. For the purposes of this study, Young’s modulus, shear modulus and loss factor are determined using dynamic tests and static tests. All parts are
made using the 3D Systems Sinterstation HiQ SLS machine and the build parameters outlined in Appendix A.

4.1. Shear Modulus

For this system, shear modulus must be determined to calculate spring coefficients for the spring element that will be included in the test model. The shear modulus is related to the spring coefficient using

\[ k_{spring} = \frac{Gd^4}{8nD^3}, \]

where \( G \) is the shear modulus, \( d \) is the coil thickness, \( n \) is the number of active coils and \( D \) is the mean coil diameter. The shear modulus is determined using this relationship for both static and dynamics tests of sintered spring elements.

For the static test, two sets of springs (one set seen in Figure 6) with varying coil thicknesses and outer diameters (see Table 1) are produced with one set sintered vertically and the other horizontally. The springs are each loaded in an Instron 3345 Tension Tester machine to obtain force versus displacement curves, thereby directly obtaining \( k_{spring} \) by finding the slope of the resulting curves.

![Figure 6: Three types of spring designs used in static testing](image)

Table 1: Dimensions of springs for static and dynamic tests

*note that \( n \) refers to the number of active coils, not total coils. In the case of the springs used in this system, \( n \) is the total number of coils minus one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Static Test - tested at strain rate of 5 mm/s</th>
<th>Dynamic Test – tested from 5 to 60 Hz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( n^* )</td>
<td>( d ) (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The parts fabricated for the dynamic test can be seen in Figure 7. These parts are designed to be simple mass-spring systems consisting of two or more springs to insure
the stability of the mass during forced vibration (see Table 1). This configuration is chosen for its simplicity and its well-known mathematical model.

![Figure 7: Two different mass-spring systems used for dynamic testing. The system on the left contains three springs and the one on the right has two springs in a “double helix” configuration for stability of the mass.](image)

Figure 7: Two different mass-spring systems used for dynamic testing. The system on the left contains three springs and the one on the right has two springs in a “double helix” configuration for stability of the mass.

The test used to determine the dynamic shear modulus is a standard vibration transmissibility test schematized in Figure 8. The spring-mass element is fixed to the top of a shaker table, which is driven by a frequency-swept sinusoidal voltage signal. During the sweep, the acceleration of the base of the sintered part and the top of the mass is measured using two matched accelerometers (PCB 333B30). A ratio of the mass acceleration to the base acceleration yields the transfer function of the system. The peak of this function occurs at the resonant frequency of the system, which for the case of light damping is related to the spring constant by

\[
\omega_n = \sqrt{\frac{k_{spring}}{M}},
\]

(2)

where \(\omega_n\) is the natural angular frequency at resonance, and \(M\) is the mass of the blocks shown in Figure 7 plus the accelerometer and 1/3 the total mass of the springs. The measurements of the shear modulus from both these tests are given in Table 2.
Table 2: Results from shear modulus static and dynamic tests. Six springs were each tested ten times for the static tests, while two mass-spring systems were each tested five times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Static (Pa)</th>
<th>Dynamic (Pa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td>4.77x10⁸</td>
<td>6.44x10⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard Deviation</strong></td>
<td>1.38x10⁸</td>
<td>8.04x10⁷</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results for the static test are very close to the published values of shear modulus for molded or extruded Nylon 11: 4.38 x 10⁸ – 4.63 x 10⁸ Pa (Granta, 2008). The dynamic test results are outside this range. This result is likely due to the fact that Nylon 11, being a polymer, has strain rate dependent (i.e. frequency dependent) moduli and that the test measured the modulus at the resonant frequency.

4.2. Young’s Modulus

The Young’s modulus of sintered Nylon 11 is also found using both static and dynamic methods. The static method used a tensile testing apparatus and follows ASTM D638, including strain rates specified therein. As Young’s modulus is highly rate dependent, simply determining this value from tensile testing may not provide adequate information, especially for systems that operate over a range of frequencies. It is for this reason that the material is also tested under dynamic conditions, specifically using the log decrement method for a cantilevered beam (Jones, 2001).

Three sets of rectangular beams are designed with a range of dimensions and built in the 3D Systems Sinterstation HiQ SLS. The test beams are clamped to a table (as seen in Figure 9) with an accelerometer affixed to the end of the beam.

![Figure 9: Schematic for Young’s modulus dynamic test](image)

The beam is struck on the end to induce motion primarily at its resonant frequency. Output from the accelerometer, an example of which is shown in Figure 10, is captured on the oscilloscope and transferred to the lab computer for analysis. The resonant
frequency of the beam is a function of its length, thickness, density, and Young’s modulus. Multiple tests can therefore be run on each beam by shortening the free length in order to obtain modulus information for a range of frequencies. The resonant frequency of this system can be determined using a discrete Fourier transform of the time signal and the Young’s modulus is then calculated as follows (Thompson, 1987):

\[ E = \frac{\omega_n^2 M_{\text{tot}} L^3}{3I} \]  

(4)

Note that \( M_{\text{tot}} \) includes the entire mass of the accelerometer plus 0.23 times the mass of the beam, \( I \) is the area moment of inertia of the beam, and \( L \) is its length.

![Response of Nylon 11 Beam When Excited](image)

**Figure 10: Sample output from Young’s modulus dynamic test**

Over two hundred trials are run with this setup, and a chart of the resulting Young’s modulus calculated from the tests is presented below in Figure 11. The bin numbers underneath each column represent the highest value within that column. No correlation to frequency could be determined for these beams as the frequencies sampled are mostly too low (less than 100 Hz), however the Young’s modulus would be expected to increase significantly at higher frequencies.
The expected range of the Young’s modulus for molded or extruded Nylon 11 is 1240 to 1310 MPa (Granta, 2008). The average for the experimentally determined Young’s modulus with ten samples is 1220 MPa, with a standard deviation of 97.7 MPa, which falls just below the expected range. A lower modulus value is expected as sintered materials often display some reduction in stiffness due to porosity. Note that tensile test data for six sintered Nylon 11 bars resulted in an average modulus of 1060 MPa, and a standard deviation of 172 MPa, a significantly lower value than both molded Nylon 11 and the values found using the log decrement method possibly due to the smaller sample size and the fact that the dynamic samples are tested at resonance.

The advantage of the dynamic test is its nondestructive nature, which allows for multiple tests to be performed with a single bar. The test also determines Young’s modulus at the natural frequency of the bar, which could provide more useful data than tensile tests that are limited to the strain rates set in the ASTM standard. It is noted that ultrasonic tests are another common method for determining Young’s modulus. However, ultrasonic testing is not the best choice for the characterization of plastics that will be employed at low frequencies as they stiffen significantly at ultrasonic frequencies (0.5 - 20 MHz) (Jones, 2001).

4.3. Loss Factor

Knowledge of the loss factor, $\eta$, of sintered Nylon 11 is vital to this study because it determines the damping of the material. Conveniently, the loss factor can be found from the same log decrement data used to determine the Young’s modulus. Specifically, the loss factor is found by fitting a logarithmic curve to the peaks of the exponentially decaying transient response of the beam, such as the one shown in Figure 10. The slope of that curve is related to the logarithmic decrement of the response, $\delta$, which can be used to determine the loss factor as follows (Jones, 2001):
Figure 12 shows the loss factor values calculated from the experimental data. Note that the expected value for mechanical loss for Nylon 11 is approximately 0.03 (Granta, 2008) which agrees well with our experimental observations.

![Figure 12: Results of the loss factor test in histogram form](image)

5. Preliminary Dynamic Tests

The results from the material characterization are used to design and test a complete negative stiffness system. For the system under test, a constraining spring is attached to a rigid frame on one side and the beam center point on the other, as shown in Figure 5. When the beam is axially loaded, the spring imparts the force on the beam and thereby constrains the spring to the otherwise metastable state when the beam is buckled. It is expected that this configuration will display enhanced damping compared to the unbuckled beam due to the negative stiffness of the beam.

The first design permutation used pinned joints for the beam, did not have a large enough aspect ratio for the beam cross section dimensions, and had a spring that was too loose to constrain the beam to its metastable state. During excitation, the pin joints created friction and vibrated, which produced significant noise in the data. These issues forced a redesign of the system, as shown in Figure 13, to include a stiffer spring, larger aspect ratio and fixed ends on the beam. The new design has beam thickness of 2.5 mm, width of 8 mm, length of 155 mm and a spring with spring constant of approximately 1100 N/m.
The left wall of the system is free to slide towards the right to compress the structure. Threaded rods (not shown) were inserted through the left and right end plates of the part and were used to progressively compress the system by small increments. Holes in the base allow for direct attachment of the part to the shaker table (Labworks Inc. model ET-139). The vibration transmissibility of the apparatus is then determined with the experimental setup shown in Figure 14, which is nearly identical to the test used to determine the shear modulus.

Vibration transmissibility tests are run at multiple levels of axial pre-strain. The tests begin with the beam in a completely uncompressed position and the beam is progressively pre-strained until the beam is in a stable buckled equilibrium position, after passing though the metastable position. Each round of testing begins by compressing the beam by approximately 0.1 mm. The shaker table then excites the system in a sweep from 30 to 200 Hz, a range that contains the first resonance peak. Several representative transmissibility curves are shown in Figure 15. Table 3 matches each curve in Figure 15 with an exaggerated and approximate image of the pre-stressed beam before the shaker test began.
Figure 15: Response of system during increased buckling. The curves are numbered for future reference.

The results clearly show that as the beam becomes more compressed and the system attains higher values of negative stiffness, the resonance frequency decreases as does the amplitude of the transfer function at resonance. This second point indicates that the presence of the buckled beam increases the overall damping of the system. The broadening of the resonance peaks is another indication of increased system damping with increased negative stiffness. The reduced amplitude and broadening of the peaks can be seen more clearly in Figure 16, which displays the transmissibility as a function of normalized frequency, thereby aligning the resonant peaks.

Figure 16: Frequency normalized response of system during buckling

Peak 1, the unbuckled response obtains a maximum amplitude of approximately 37 dB while peak 7, the most buckled response obtains a maximum amplitude of 19 dB.
This is equivalent to an 87% decrease in damping which is notable considering that the total compression of the system is 0.772 mm. Furthermore, these negative stiffness systems can also be used to tune the natural resonance of a system by increasing or decreasing the amount of buckling accordingly. This experiment demonstrates that negative stiffness systems are remarkably effective as damping agents.

Table 3: This table outlines the actual compression during each stage in Figures 15 and 16. The image shows the exaggerated stages of deflection of the beam at each point. At peak 6, the beam reaches the end of its metastable condition and becomes completely buckled.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak Number</th>
<th>Total Amount of Compression (mm)</th>
<th>Exaggerated Beam Deflection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.409</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Closure

The goal for this project is to ultimately develop metamaterials that can achieve higher damping than currently available materials, via negative stiffness behavior. Towards this goal, macroscale proof-of-concept tests were conducted on a bistable element, specifically a pre-buckled beam, that has been shown theoretically to exhibit negative stiffness behavior. The apparatus was built with SLS technology and Nylon 11 material. A preliminary design for the apparatus was created, and material properties for sintered Nylon 11 were measured using both static and dynamic tests. Using those properties, the initial design could be tuned to obtain the correct damping behavior and fabricated with SLS. The results from dynamic experiments indicate that a simple negative stiffness system has the ability to increase damping, as resonance peaks for the pre-buckled beams (i.e., bistable elements) are lower in amplitude and broader than those for unbuckled beams. The next step will be implementing more complicated negative
stiffness systems, in two and three dimensions, and with composite materials. From there, the ability to use negative stiffness inclusions within materials will be explored.
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Appendix A

Table 4: 3D Systems Sinterstation HiQ SLS machine settings for Nylon 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Settings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part Bed Temperature</td>
<td>187°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left/Right Feed Bin Temperature</td>
<td>142°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser Power</td>
<td>38 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner/Outer Ratio</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan Spacing</td>
<td>0.01 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer Time</td>
<td>20 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer Thickness</td>
<td>0.0004 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roller Speed</td>
<td>10 in/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>